

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

Amount Requested \$46,750

- 1. Project Number** (Assigned by federal unit): _____
- 2. Project Name:** Thompson Creek Rd. # 38-7-34 Chip Seal
- 3. County:** Josephine
- 4. Project Sponsor:** Jim Roper GPRA
- 5. Date:** 4/7/03
- 6. Sponsors Phone #** 541-471-6979
- 7. Sponsor's E-mail:** jroper@or.blm.gov
- 8. Project Location** (attach project area map)
- a. 4th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): _____
 - b. 5th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Thompson Creek
 - c. Legal Location: Township 38 Range 7 Section(s) 34
 - d. BLM District Medford
 - e. BLM Resource Area Grants Pass
 - f. National Forest _____
 - g. Forest Service District _____
 - h. State / Private / Other lands involved? ☐ Yes ☒ No

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

Coho salmon and Steelhead trout will benefit by this project from reduction of sediment reaching Thompson Creek. BLM will benefit with a better road surface and safer access. Road upgrade will reduce BLM maintenance and provide a better road surface for residents of the area.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

Chip Seal segment A 1.16 miles on North Fork Thompson Creek Road #38-7-34A. Chip seal with 2 applications of CRS-2, 3/8 inch rock 18 feet wide, 1.16 miles in length. Road surface will be graded with crushed aggregate applied as needed to repair pot holes. All work will be confined to the road prism.

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?

☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, then describe.

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- ☒ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☐ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☐ Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): _____ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)] |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] |

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

- ☐ Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] ☐ Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
- ☐ Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]
- ☐ Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: _____

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- a. Total Acres: _____ b. Total Miles: 1.16
- c. No. Structures: _____ d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): 50
- e. No. of Laborer Days: 10
- f. Other (specify): Program Element (PE)- HS

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: 15 days 7/15/04(earlier weather permitting)

16. Target Species Benefited (if applicable) : Coho Salmon and Steelhead Trout

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)] Road provides access to residence and public users. Road surface would be upgraded to a safer standard.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities?** Improves Coho Salmon habitat, improves public safety. Public has expressed a concern.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?
Improves Coho Salmon habitat, water quality, reduces sediment.

20. Status of Project Planning

- a. NEPA Complete: ☐ Yes ☒ No b. If No, give est. date of completion: CE as of 9/30/03
- c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- e. Survey & Manage Complete: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- i. Project Design(s) Completed: ☒ Yes ☐ No

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers,
SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

- ☒ Contract ☒ Federal Workforce
- ☐ County Workforce ☐ Volunteers
- ☐ Other (specify): _____

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))

☐ Yes ☒ No

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$46,750

b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, then display by fiscal year

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Proposed Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys		\$500		\$500
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation		\$500		\$500
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering		\$500		\$500
28. Contract Preparation		\$500		\$500
29. Contract Administration		\$500		\$500
30. Contract Cost		\$40,000		\$40,000
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring	\$300			\$300
34. Other-				
35. Project Subtotal	\$300	\$42,500		\$42,500
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)		\$ 4,250		\$ 4,250
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$300	\$46,750		\$47,050

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6))

- a. **What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Engineering and Fish Biologist will conduct condition surveys each year to determine conditions.

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? Report will be filled with Contracting Officer who tracks local employment.

This is not a youth employment for opportunity project.

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Roads are monitored by BLM's O&C permit system for haul on Public lands.

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: \$300.00